Hydro-Elastic Analysis of Marine Structures Using a Boundary Integral Equation Method

L. Kaydıhan², B. Uğurlu¹ and A. Ergin¹

¹ Faculty of Naval Architecture and Ocean Eng., ITU, Turkey

² Delta Marine, Turkey

Hydroelasticity Research Group in ITU

Professor A. Ergin, ITU Dr. B. Uğurlu, ITU L. Kaydıhan, DELTA MARINE TURKEY S.A. Köroğlu, ITU

Activities of Research Group

- General 3D Hydroelasticity Method
- Experimental studies; modal analysis, operational modal analysis, vibration measurements
- Outcomes are reported in *Journal of* Sound and Vibration and Journal of Fluids
 and Structures
- Research Projects founded by Technical Research Council of Turkey

The fluid is assumed ideal, i.e., inviscid and incompressible, and its motion is irrotational and there exists a fluid velocity vector, \mathbf{v} , which can be defined as the gradient of the velocity potential function $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ as

$$\mathbf{v}(x, y, z, t) = \nabla \Phi(x, y, z, t) \tag{1}$$

The velocity potential Φ may be expressed as

$$\Phi = U x + \phi \tag{2}$$

Here the steady velocity potential Ux represents the effect of the mean flow associated with the undisturbed flow velocity U in the Axial direction. Further, Φ is the unsteady velocity potential associated with the perturbations to the flow field due to the motion of the flexible body and satisfies the Laplace equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0 \tag{3}$$

throughout the fluid domain. For the structure immersed in and/or containing flowing fluid, the vibratory response of the structure may be expressed in terms of principal coordinates as

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{p}_0 \, \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t} \tag{4}$$

The velocity potential function due to the distortion of the structure in the *rth in vacuo* vibrational mode may be written as follows

$$\phi_r(x, y, z, t) = \phi_r(x, y, z) p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}$$
(5)

where *M* represents the number of modes of interest, and *p0r* is an unknown complex amplitude for the *r*th principal coordinate. On the wetted surface of the vibrating structure the normal fluid velocity must equal to the normal velocity on the structure and this condition for the *r*th modal vibration of the elastic structure containing and/or submerged in flowing fluid can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial n} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_r}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_r}{\partial x}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}$$
(6)

The vector **u***r* denotes the displacement response of the structure in the *r*th principal coordinate and it may be written as

$$\mathbf{u}_{r}(x, y, z, t) = \mathbf{u}_{r}(x, y, z) p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}$$
(7)

Substituting Eqs. (5) and (7) into (6), the following expression is obtained for the boundary condition on the fluid-structure interface

$$\frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \lambda \mathbf{u}_r \left(x, y, z \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} + U \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_r \left(x, y, z \right)}{\partial x} \cdot \mathbf{n}$$
(8)

It is assumed that the elastic structure vibrates at relatively high frequencies so that the effect of surface waves can be neglected. Therefore, the free surface condition (infinite frequency limit condition) for the perturbation potential can be approximated by

on the free surface

(9)

 $\phi_r = 0$

The method of images may be used to satisfy this boundary condition. By adding an imaginary boundary region, the condition given by Eq. (9) at the horizontal surface can be omitted; thus the problem is reduced to a classical Neumann case. It should be noted that, for the completely filled elastic structure, the normal fluid velocity cannot be arbitrarily specified. It has to satisfy the incompressibility condition

$$\iint_{S_W + S_{im}} \frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial \mathbf{n}} dS = 0, \tag{10}$$

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Numerical Evaluation of Perturbation Potential

The boundary value problem for the perturbation potential, may be expressed in the following form:

$$c(\xi)\phi(\xi) = \iint_{S_{W}} (\phi^{*}(s,\xi)q(s) - \phi(s)q^{*}(s,\xi)) dS$$
(11)

where ξ and *s* denote, respectively, the evaluation and field points on the wetted surface. is the fundamental solution and expressed as follows,

$$\phi^*(s,\xi) = \frac{1}{4\,\pi\,r}$$
(12)

 $q = \partial \phi / \partial \mathbf{n}$ is the flux, and r the distance between the evaluation and field points. The free term is defined as the fraction of that lies inside the domain of interest. Moreover, $q^*(s,\xi)$ can be written as

$$q^*(s,\xi) = -(\partial r / \partial \mathbf{n}) / 4\pi r^3$$
(13)

The fluid-structure interaction problem may be separated into two parts: (*i*) the vibration of the elastic structure in a quiescent fluid, and (*ii*) the disturbance in the main axial flow due to the oscillation of the structure. Thus, defining , Eq (7) may be divided into two separate parts as

$$\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{u}(x, y, z) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(x, y, z)}{\partial x} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \qquad (14a,b)$$

For the solution of Eq. (11) with boundary conditions (14a and b), the wetted surface can be idealized by using boundary elements, referred to as hydrodynamic panels, and the distribution of the potential function and its flux over each hydrodynamic panel may be described in terms of the shape functions and nodal values as

$$\phi_e = \sum_{j=1}^{n_e} N_{ej} \phi_{ej}, \qquad q_e = \sum_{j=1}^{n_e} N_{ej} q_{ej}$$
(15)

Here, n_e represents the number of nodal points assigned to each hydrodynamic panel, and Ne_j the shape function adopted for the distribution of the potential function. *e* and *j* indicates the numbers of the hydrodynamic panels and nodal points, respectively.

In the case of a linear distribution adopted in this study, the shape functions for a quadrilateral panel may be expressed as

 $N_{e1} = ((1 - \varsigma)(1 - \eta))/4$ $N_{e2} = ((1 + \varsigma)(1 - \eta))/4$ $N_{e3} = ((1 + \varsigma)(1 + \eta))/4$

 $N_{e4} = ((1 - \zeta)(1 + \eta))/4$

(16)

After substituting Eqs. (15) and (16) into Eq. (11) and applying the boundary conditions given in Eqs. (14a) and (14b), the unknown potential function values can be determined from the following sets of algebraic equations

$$c_k \phi_{1k} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\phi_{1ij} \iint_{\Delta S_i} N_j q^* dS = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\mathbf{u}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{n}_j \iint_{\Delta S_i} N_j \phi^* dS),$$
(17 a)

$$c_k \phi_{2k} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\phi_{2ij} \iint_{\Delta S_i} N_j q^* dS = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{ij}}{\partial x} \cdot \mathbf{n}_j \iint_{\Delta S_i} N_j \phi^* dS),$$

 $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$

where m denotes the number of nodal points used in the discretization of the structure

MATHEMATICAL MODEL Calculation of Generalized Fluid-Structure

Interaction Force Coefficients

Using the Bernoulli's equation and neglecting the second order terms, the dynamic fluid pressure on the elastic structure due to the *r*th modal vibration becomes

$$P_r(x, y, z, t) = -\rho \left(\frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial x}\right), \tag{18}$$

Substituting equation (5) into (18), the following expression for the pressure is obtained,

$$P_r(x, y, z, t) = -\rho \left(\lambda \phi_r + U \frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial x}\right) p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}.$$
(19)

By using the definition of $\phi_r = \lambda \phi_{r1} + U \phi_{r2}$, equation (19) may be rewritten in the following form:

$$P_r(x, y, z, t) = -\rho \left(\lambda^2 \phi_{r1} + U \lambda \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{r1}}{\partial x} + \phi_{r2}\right) + U^2 \frac{\partial \phi_{r2}}{\partial x}\right) p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}$$
(20)

The *kth* component of the generalized fluid-structure interaction force due to the *rth* modal *in-vacuo* vibration of the elastic structure subjected to axial flow can be expressed in terms of the pressure acting on the wetted surface of the structure as

$$Z_{kr} = \iint_{S_{W}} P_{r}(x, y, z, t) \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} dS$$

$$= -p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} \iint_{S_{W}} \rho(\lambda^{2} \phi_{r1} + U\lambda(\frac{\partial \phi_{r1}}{\partial x} + \phi_{r2}) + U^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{r2}}{\partial x}) \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} dS$$

$$= -\lambda^{2} p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} \rho \iint_{S_{W}} \phi_{r1} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} dS - \lambda p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} \rho \bigcup_{S_{W}} (\frac{\partial \phi_{r1}}{\partial x} + \phi_{r2}) \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} dS$$

$$- p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} \rho U^{2} \iint_{S_{W}} \frac{\partial \phi_{r2}}{\partial x} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} dS$$
(21)

The generalized added mass, A_{kr} , generalized fluid damping (due to the Coriolis effect of fluid), B_{kr} and generalized fluid stiffness (due to the centrifugal effect of fluid), C_{kr} , terms can be defined as

$$A_{kr} = \rho \iint_{S_W} \phi_{r1} \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{n} \, dS, \tag{22}$$

$$B_{kr} = \rho U \iint_{S_W} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{r1}}{\partial x} + \phi_{r2} \right) \mathbf{u}_k \, \mathbf{n} \, dS,$$
(23)

$$C_{kr} = \rho U^2 \iint_{S_W} \frac{\partial \phi_{r2}}{\partial x} \mathbf{u}_k \, \mathbf{n} \, dS.$$
(24)

Therefore, the generalized fluid-structure interaction force component, Z_{kr} , can be written as

$$Z_{kr}(t) = -A_{kr} \lambda^{2} p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} - B_{kr} \lambda p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} - C_{kr} p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}$$

= $-A_{kr} \ddot{p}_{r}(t) - B_{kr} \dot{p}_{r}(t) - C_{kr} p_{r}(t)$ (25)

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Calculation of Wet Frequencies and Mode Shapes

The generalized equation of motion for the elastic structure in contact with axial flow assuming free vibrations with no structural damping is

$$\left[\lambda^{2} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{A}) + \lambda (\mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{C})\right] \mathbf{p} = 0,$$
(26)

where **a** and **c** denote the generalized structural mass and stiffness matrices, respectively, and they are calculated by using a standard finite element program [21]. The matrices **A**, **B** and **C** represent the generalized added mass, generalized fluid damping and generalized fluid stiffness matrices, respectively.

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship

MAIN PARTICULARS

:	1 82.8 5 m
:	171.00 m
:	28.00 m
:	16.10 m
:	10.00 m
:	11.00 m
:	19.50 knot
:	26200 ton
	: : : : :





Figure 1 – General arangement

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Model

- FE calculations were carried out by Delta Marine, Turkey.
- Abaqus employed for the FE calculations
- Ship Model is developed in two parts;
 Aft part consists of engine room, poop deck, aft peak and Superstructure decks.

Fore part consists of cargo area, fore peak, forecastle deck

• Fine mesh density is used for the aft part model

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Model

- Cargo loading is applied as inertia mass elements distributed over the cargo area inner bottom plating
- Ballast weights, heavy fuel oil and other tank weights are also applied as inertial mass elements.
- Finite elements model has 176030 nodes, 176800 structural elements

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Model – Loading Cond.

- Full loading with design draught of 10 m.
- Cargo loading 17150 t
- Ballast weight 3021 t
- Heavy fuel oil 1886 t
- Marine diesel oil 165 t
- Fresh water 206 t
- Other tank weights 165 t

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Model – Loading Cond.

- LWT 9000 t
- DWT 22595.7 t
- Total Weight 31595.7 t
- Total Finite Element Weight 31520 t
- LCG 79.85 m
- LCG FEM 80.3 m











Dry Freq = 1.119 Hz



Dry Freq = 1.331 Hz



Dry Freq = 1.515 Hz



Dry Freq = 1.676 Hz



Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – BE Model

• Number of nodes = 10674

12 in vacuo modes employed in the analysis

• Number of elements = 10772



generalized added mass (kgm²) (1st ten modes, corresponding to 1 kgm² generalized structural mass)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	0.24	0.00	0.11	0.03	0.00	-0.09	0.11	0.00	-0.01	0.00
2	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.00	-0.06	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.04
3	0.07	0.00	0.27	-0.02	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.00
4	0.03	0.00	-0.05	0.17	-0.01	0.03	-0.05	0.00	-0.02	0.00
5	0.00	-0.07	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	-0.01
6	-0.07	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.20	-0.08	0.00	0.02	0.00
7	0.45	0.00	0.50	-0.15	0.00	-0.38	0.58	0.00	-0.03	-0.02
8	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.00	-0.04
9	-0.01	0.00	0.01	-0.02	0.00	0.03	-0.01	0.00	0.15	0.00
10	-0.01	0.09	-0.01	0.00	-0.02	0.01	-0.01	-0.06	0.01	0.06

principle coordinates (1st ten modes)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1.00	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.01	-1.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.03	0.00	-0.01
3	-0.21	0.00	0.98	-0.02	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.05	0.00	-0.02	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	-0.01
5	0.01	0.00	-0.04	-0.98	-0.01	-0.04	0.17	0.00	0.01	0.00
6	0.12	0.00	0.07	-0.15	0.00	0.36	-0.91	0.00	0.02	0.01
7	0.04	0.01	0.07	-0.05	0.00	-0.52	-0.84	-0.07	-0.01	0.01
8	0.00	0.13	-0.01	0.01	0.04	0.07	0.10	-0.96	0.01	0.07
9	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.03	0.00	-0.04	0.03	0.01	1.00	0.02
10	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	-0.04	0.00	-0.01	0.02	0.01	-0.64
Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Wet Model

 60300 fluid elements are used to model the behavior of fluid surrounding the ship hull.





Comparison of Wet BE and FE Results

			dry	wet freq (Hz)			
gl	obal t	vibration mode	freq (Hz)	fem	bem	err	
1st	torsi	on	1.119	0.998	0.998	0.0	
1st	bendi	ng	1.331	1.015	1.041	2.5	
1st	hor.	bending & tors	1.515	1.328	1.348	1.5	
2nd	torsi	on	2.547	2.325	2.342	0.7	
2nd	bendi	ng	2.676	2.059	2.071	0.6	



Table 3 Comparisons of *dry* and *wet* natural frequencies for clamped–free cylindrical shell (Hz)

Mode (m, n)	Dry analysis			Wet analysis							
	This study	FEA [5]	Experiment [5]	d/L = 0.5		d/L = 0.7			d/L = 1		
				This study	FEA [5]	This study	FEA [5]	Experiment [5]	This study	FEA [5]	Experiment [5]
1,3	634.2	633	616	608.9	609.4	542.0	543.1	522	400.7	400.6	388
1,2	814.2	814	708	769.3	771.1	669.8	672.7	582	481.1	482.1	421
1,4	949.2	947	945	908.4	908.8	806.8	806.0	798	635.3	633.2	628
1,5	1482.5	1480	1479	1351.8	1352.8	1195.5	1188.4	1196	1039.7	1033.0	1027
2,4	1649.8	1648	1628	1308.4	1303.9	1261.4	1253.2	1244	1111.8	1110.6	1094
1,1	1825.6	1827		1654.7	1654.4	1407.3	1407.4	_	1041.9	1038.6	
2,5	1840.3	1839	1851	1571.3	1565.8	1557.7	1553.8	1546	1305.0	1304.2	1299
2,3	2029.9	2029	1969	1519.9	1515.2	1434.0	1425.3	1394	1288.0	1286.9	1245
1,6	2156.8	2154	2151	1843.5	1842.7	1693.6	1679.7		1574.3	1561.3	1546
2,6	2385.8			2189.6	2189.0	2119.7	<u> </u>		1762.4	1762.6	1748

[5] T. Mazúch, J. Horáček, J. Trnka, J. Veselý, Natural modes and frequencies of a thin clamped-free cylindrical storage tank partially filled with water: FEM and measurements, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol.193, pp.669-690, 1996

A. Ergin, B. Uğurlu / Journal of Sound and Vibration 275 (2004) 489-513



Fig. 4. Predicted mode shapes with m = 1 and n = 3: (a) empty shell, d/L = 0; (b) d/L = 0.2; (c) d/L = 0.4; (d) d/L = 0.6; (e) d/L = 0.8; (f) d/L = 1.

501

502

A. Ergin, B. Uğurlu | Journal of Sound and Vibration 275 (2004) 489-513



Fig. 5. Predicted mode shapes with m = 1 and n = 5: (a) empty shell, d/L = 0; (b) d/L = 0.2; (c) d/L = 0.4; (d) d/L = 0.6; (e) d/L = 0.8; (f) d/L = 1.



511

Fig. 7. Comparisons of predicted wet mode shapes of completely filled hermetic can for n (or i) = 3: (a) first symmetric shell-dominant mode; (b) first anti-symmetric plate-dominant mode; (c) first symmetric plate-dominant mode; (d) first anti-symmetric shell-dominant mode; (e) second symmetric plate-dominant mode; (f) second anti-symmetric plate-dominant mode; (g) second symmetric shell-dominant mode; (h) second anti-symmetric shell-dominant mode.



Fig. 1. Cylindrical shell conveying flowing fluid, (a) with rigid extensions and (b) with flexible extensions.

The structure adopted for calculations is a finite length cylindrical shell, simply supported at both ends, and it was analytically investigated by Weaver and Unny (1973), Selmane and Lakis (1997), Amabili *et al* (1999) and Amabili and Garziera (2002). The shell structure has the geometric and material properties: length-to-radius ratio L/R = 2, thickness-to-radius ratio h/R = 0.01, Young's modulus E = 206 GPa, Poisson's ratio u = 0.3, and mass density $\rho s = 7850$ kg/m3. Fresh water is used as the contained fluid with a density of $\rho f = 1000$ kg/m3.













Conclusions

- It can also be said that the hybrid method introduced in this study can be applied to any shape of cylindrical structure partially in contact with internal and/or external flowing fluid, in contrast to the studies found in the literature.
- The present study has demonstrated the versatility of the method developed before and extended in this study further. By introducing the linearly varying boundary elements in this study, the convergence of the numerical predictions were obtained much faster than those using constant distributions over the boundary elements.
- the predicted frequency values behave as expected. It is to say that they decrease with increasing non-dimensional axial flow velocity, and they reach a zero frequency for the axial flow velocity at which a static divergence occurs.

Hydro-Elastic Analysis of Marine Structures Using a Boundary Integral Equation Method

L. Kaydıhan², B. Uğurlu¹ and A. Ergin¹

 ¹ Faculty of Naval Architecture and Ocean Eng., ITU, Turkey
 ² Delta Marine, Turkey Hydroelasticity Research Group in ITU

Professor A. Ergin, ITU Dr. B. Uğurlu, ITU L. Kaydıhan, DELTA MARINE TURKEY S.A. Köroğlu, ITU

Activities of Research Group

- General 3D Hydroelasticity Method
- Experimental studies; modal analysis, operational modal analysis, vibration measurements
- Outcomes are reported in *Journal of* Sound and Vibration and Journal of Fluids
 and Structures
- Research Projects founded by Technical Research Council of Turkey

The fluid is assumed ideal, i.e., inviscid and incompressible, and its motion is irrotational and there exists a fluid velocity vector, \mathbf{v} , which can be defined as the gradient of the velocity potential function $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ as

$$\mathbf{v}(x, y, z, t) = \nabla \Phi(x, y, z, t) \tag{1}$$

The velocity potential Φ may be expressed as

$$\Phi = U x + \phi \tag{2}$$

Here the steady velocity potential $U \times P$ represents the effect of the mean flow associated with the undisturbed flow velocity U in the Axial direction. Further, Φ is the unsteady velocity potential associated with the perturbations to the flow field due to the motion of the flexible body and satisfies the Laplace equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0 \tag{3}$$

throughout the fluid domain. For the structure immersed in and/or containing flowing fluid, the vibratory response of the structure may be expressed in terms of principal coordinates as

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{p}_0 \, \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t} \tag{4}$$

The velocity potential function due to the distortion of the structure in the *rth in vacuo* vibrational mode may be written as follows

$$\phi_r(x, y, z, t) = \phi_r(x, y, z) p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}$$
(5)

where *M* represents the number of modes of interest, and *p0r* is an unknown complex amplitude for the *r*th principal coordinate. On the wetted surface of the vibrating structure the normal fluid velocity must equal to the normal velocity on the structure and this condition for the *r*th modal vibration of the elastic structure containing and/or submerged in flowing fluid can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial n} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_r}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_r}{\partial x}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}$$
(6)

The vector **u***r* denotes the displacement response of the structure in the *r*th principal coordinate and it may be written as

$$\mathbf{u}_{r}(x, y, z, t) = \mathbf{u}_{r}(x, y, z) p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}$$
(7)

Substituting Eqs. (5) and (7) into (6), the following expression is obtained for the boundary condition on the fluid-structure interface

$$\frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \lambda \mathbf{u}_r \left(x, y, z \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} + U \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_r \left(x, y, z \right)}{\partial x} \cdot \mathbf{n}$$
(8)

It is assumed that the elastic structure vibrates at relatively high frequencies so that the effect of surface waves can be neglected. Therefore, the free surface condition (infinite frequency limit condition) for the perturbation potential can be approximated by

on the free surface

(9)

 $\phi_r = 0$

The method of images may be used to satisfy this boundary condition. By adding an imaginary boundary region, the condition given by Eq. (9) at the horizontal surface can be omitted; thus the problem is reduced to a classical Neumann case. It should be noted that, for the completely filled elastic structure, the normal fluid velocity cannot be arbitrarily specified. It has to satisfy the incompressibility condition

$$\iint_{S_W + S_{im}} \frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial \mathbf{n}} dS = 0, \tag{10}$$

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Numerical Evaluation of Perturbation Potential

The boundary value problem for the perturbation potential, may be expressed in the following form:

$$c(\xi)\phi(\xi) = \iint_{S_{W}} (\phi^{*}(s,\xi)q(s) - \phi(s)q^{*}(s,\xi)) dS$$
(11)

where ξ and *s* denote, respectively, the evaluation and field points on the wetted surface. is the fundamental solution and expressed as follows,

$$\phi^*(s,\xi) = \frac{1}{4\pi r}$$
(12)

 $q = \partial \phi / \partial \mathbf{n}$ is the flux, and r the distance between the evaluation and field points. The free term is defined as the fraction of that lies inside the domain of interest. Moreover, $q^*(s,\xi)$ can be written as

$$q^*(s,\xi) = -(\partial r / \partial \mathbf{n}) / 4\pi r^3$$
(13)

The fluid-structure interaction problem may be separated into two parts: (*i*) the vibration of the elastic structure in a quiescent fluid, and (*ii*) the disturbance in the main axial flow due to the oscillation of the structure. Thus, defining , Eq (7) may be divided into two separate parts as

$$\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{u}(x, y, z) \cdot \mathbf{n}, \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(x, y, z)}{\partial x} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \qquad (14a,b)$$

For the solution of Eq. (11) with boundary conditions (14a and b), the wetted surface can be idealized by using boundary elements, referred to as hydrodynamic panels, and the distribution of the potential function and its flux over each hydrodynamic panel may be described in terms of the shape functions and nodal values as

$$\phi_e = \sum_{j=1}^{n_e} N_{ej} \phi_{ej}, \qquad q_e = \sum_{j=1}^{n_e} N_{ej} q_{ej}$$
(15)

Here, n_e represents the number of nodal points assigned to each hydrodynamic panel, and Ne_j the shape function adopted for the distribution of the potential function. *e* and *j* indicates the numbers of the hydrodynamic panels and nodal points, respectively.

In the case of a linear distribution adopted in this study, the shape functions for a quadrilateral panel may be expressed as

 $N_{e1} = ((1 - \zeta)(1 - \eta))/4$ $N_{e2} = ((1 + \zeta)(1 - \eta))/4$ $N_{e3} = ((1 + \zeta)(1 + \eta))/4$

(16)

 $N_{e4} = ((1 - \zeta)(1 + \eta))/4$

After substituting Eqs. (15) and (16) into Eq. (11) and applying the boundary conditions given in Eqs. (14a) and (14b), the unknown potential function values can be determined from the following sets of algebraic equations

$$c_k \phi_{1k} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\phi_{1ij} \iint_{\Delta S_i} N_j q^* dS = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\mathbf{u}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{n}_j \iint_{\Delta S_i} N_j \phi^* dS),$$
(17 a)

$$c_k \phi_{2k} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\phi_{2ij} \iint_{\Delta S_i} N_j q^* dS = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{ij}}{\partial x} \cdot \mathbf{n}_j \iint_{\Delta S_i} N_j \phi^* dS),$$

 $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$

where m denotes the number of nodal points used in the discretization of the structure

MATHEMATICAL MODEL Calculation of Generalized Fluid-Structure

Interaction Force Coefficients

Using the Bernoulli's equation and neglecting the second order terms, the dynamic fluid pressure on the elastic structure due to the *r*th modal vibration becomes

$$P_r(x, y, z, t) = -\rho \left(\frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial x}\right), \tag{18}$$

Substituting equation (5) into (18), the following expression for the pressure is obtained,

$$P_r(x, y, z, t) = -\rho \left(\lambda \phi_r + U \frac{\partial \phi_r}{\partial x}\right) p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}.$$
(19)

By using the definition of $\phi_r = \lambda \phi_{r1} + U \phi_{r2}$, equation (19) may be rewritten in the following form:

$$P_r(x, y, z, t) = -\rho \left(\lambda^2 \phi_{r1} + U \lambda \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{r1}}{\partial x} + \phi_{r2}\right) + U^2 \frac{\partial \phi_{r2}}{\partial x}\right) p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}$$
(20)

The *kth* component of the generalized fluid-structure interaction force due to the *rth* modal *in-vacuo* vibration of the elastic structure subjected to axial flow can be expressed in terms of the pressure acting on the wetted surface of the structure as

$$Z_{kr} = \iint_{S_{W}} P_{r}(x, y, z, t) \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} \, dS$$

$$= -p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} \iint_{S_{W}} \rho \left(\lambda^{2} \phi_{r1} + U \lambda \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{r1}}{\partial x} + \phi_{r2}\right) + U^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{r2}}{\partial x}\right) \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} \, dS$$

$$= -\lambda^{2} p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} \rho \iint_{S_{W}} \phi_{r1} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} \, dS - \lambda p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} \rho \bigcup_{S_{W}} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{r1}}{\partial x} + \phi_{r2}\right) \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} \, dS$$

$$- p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} \rho U^{2} \iint_{S_{W}} \frac{\partial \phi_{r2}}{\partial x} \mathbf{u}_{k} \mathbf{n} \, dS$$
(21)

The generalized added mass, A_{kr} , generalized fluid damping (due to the Coriolis effect of fluid), B_{kr} and generalized fluid stiffness (due to the centrifugal effect of fluid), C_{kr} , terms can be defined as

$$A_{kr} = \rho \iint_{S_W} \phi_{r1} \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{n} \, dS, \tag{22}$$

$$B_{kr} = \rho U \iint_{S_W} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{r1}}{\partial x} + \phi_{r2} \right) \mathbf{u}_k \, \mathbf{n} \, dS,$$
⁽²³⁾

$$C_{kr} = \rho U^2 \iint_{S_W} \frac{\partial \phi_{r2}}{\partial x} \mathbf{u}_k \, \mathbf{n} \, dS.$$
(24)

Therefore, the generalized fluid-structure interaction force component, Z_{kr} , can be written as

$$Z_{kr}(t) = -A_{kr} \lambda^{2} p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} - B_{kr} \lambda p_{0r} e^{\lambda t} - C_{kr} p_{0r} e^{\lambda t}$$

= $-A_{kr} \ddot{p}_{r}(t) - B_{kr} \dot{p}_{r}(t) - C_{kr} p_{r}(t)$ (25)

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Calculation of Wet Frequencies and Mode Shapes

The generalized equation of motion for the elastic structure in contact with axial flow assuming free vibrations with no structural damping is

$$\left[\lambda^{2} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{A}) + \lambda (\mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{C})\right] \mathbf{p} = 0,$$
(26)

where **a** and **c** denote the generalized structural mass and stiffness matrices, respectively, and they are calculated by using a standard finite element program [21]. The matrices **A**, **B** and **C** represent the generalized added mass, generalized fluid damping and generalized fluid stiffness matrices, respectively.

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship

MAIN PARTICULARS

Length overall	:	1 82.8 5 m
Length perpendicular	:	171.00 m
Breadth (moulded)	:	28.00 m
Depth (moulded)	:	16.10 m
Design draught	:	10.00 m
Scatling draught	:	11.00 m
Service speed	:	19.50 knot
Deadweight (at scantling draught)	:	26200 ton




Figure 1 – General arangement

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Model

- FE calculations were carried out by Delta Marine, Turkey.
- Abaqus employed for the FE calculations
- Ship Model is developed in two parts;
 Aft part consists of engine room, poop deck, aft peak and Superstructure decks.

Fore part consists of cargo area, fore peak, forecastle deck

• Fine mesh density is used for the aft part model

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Model

- Cargo loading is applied as inertia mass elements distributed over the cargo area inner bottom plating
- Ballast weights, heavy fuel oil and other tank weights are also applied as inertial mass elements.
- Finite elements model has 176030 nodes, 176800 structural elements

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Model – Loading Cond.

- Full loading with design draught of 10 m.
- Cargo loading 17150 t
- Ballast weight 3021 t
- Heavy fuel oil 1886 t
- Marine diesel oil 165 t
- Fresh water 206 t
- Other tank weights 165 t

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Model – Loading Cond.

- LWT 9000 t
- DWT 22595.7 t
- Total Weight 31595.7 t
- Total Finite Element Weight 31520 t
- LCG 79.85 m
- LCG FEM 80.3 m











Dry Freq = 1.119 Hz



Dry Freq = 1.331 Hz



Dry Freq = 1.515 Hz



Dry Freq = 1.676 Hz



Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – BE Model

• Number of nodes = 10674

12 in vacuo modes employed in the analysis

• Number of elements = 10772



generalized added mass (kgm²) (1st ten modes, corresponding to 1 kgm² generalized structural mass)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0.24	0.00	0.11	0.03	0.00	-0.09	0.11	0.00	-0.01	0.00
0.00	0.63	0.00	0.00	-0.06	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.04
0.07	0.00	0.27	-0.02	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.00
0.03	0.00	-0.05	0.17	-0.01	0.03	-0.05	0.00	-0.02	0.00
0.00	-0.07	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	-0.01
-0.07	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.20	-0.08	0.00	0.02	0.00
0.45	0.00	0.50	-0.15	0.00	-0.38	0.58	0.00	-0.03	-0.02
0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.00	-0.04
-0.01	0.00	0.01	-0.02	0.00	0.03	-0.01	0.00	0.15	0.00
-0.01	0.09	-0.01	0.00	-0.02	0.01	-0.01	-0.06	0.01	0.06
	1 0.24 0.00 0.07 0.03 0.00 -0.07 0.45 0.00 -0.01 -0.01	120.240.000.000.630.070.000.030.000.00-0.07-0.070.000.450.000.000.32-0.010.09	$\begin{array}{c cccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 0.24 & 0.00 & 0.11 \\ 0.00 & 0.63 & 0.00 \\ 0.07 & 0.00 & 0.27 \\ 0.03 & 0.00 & -0.05 \\ 0.00 & -0.07 & 0.00 \\ -0.07 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\ 0.45 & 0.00 & 0.50 \\ 0.00 & 0.32 & 0.00 \\ -0.01 & 0.00 & 0.01 \\ -0.01 & 0.09 & -0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					

principle coordinates (1st ten modes)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1.00	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.01	-1.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.03	0.00	-0.01
3	-0.21	0.00	0.98	-0.02	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	0.00	0.05	0.00	-0.02	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	-0.01
5	0.01	0.00	-0.04	-0.98	-0.01	-0.04	0.17	0.00	0.01	0.00
6	0.12	0.00	0.07	-0.15	0.00	0.36	-0.91	0.00	0.02	0.01
7	0.04	0.01	0.07	-0.05	0.00	-0.52	-0.84	-0.07	-0.01	0.01
8	0.00	0.13	-0.01	0.01	0.04	0.07	0.10	-0.96	0.01	0.07
9	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.03	0.00	-0.04	0.03	0.01	1.00	0.02
10	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	-0.04	0.00	-0.01	0.02	0.01	-0.64

Hydroelastic Investigation of a 1900 TEU Container Ship – FE Wet Model

 60300 fluid elements are used to model the behavior of fluid surrounding the ship hull.





Comparison of Wet BE and FE Results

	dry	wet	freq (Hz)		
global vibration mode	freq (Hz)	fem	bem	err	
1st torsion	1.119	0.998	0.998	0.0	
1st bending	1.331	1.015	1.041	2.5	
1st hor. bending & tors.	1.515	1.328	1.348	1.5	
2nd torsion	2.547	2.325	2.342	0.7	
2nd bending	2.676	2.059	2.071	0.6	



Table 3

Comparisons of *dry* and *wet* natural frequencies for clamped–free cylindrical shell (Hz)

Mode (<i>m</i> , <i>n</i>)	Dry analysis			Wet analysis							
	This study	FEA [5]	Experiment [5]	d/L = 0.5		d/L = 0.7			d/L = 1		
				This study	FEA [5]	This study	FEA [5]	Experiment [5]	This study	FEA [5]	Experiment [5]
1,3	634.2	633	616	608.9	609.4	542.0	543.1	522	400.7	400.6	388
1,2	814.2	814	708	769.3	771.1	669.8	672.7	582	481.1	482.1	421
1,4	949.2	947	945	908.4	908.8	806.8	806.0	798	635.3	633.2	628
1,5	1482.5	1480	1479	1351.8	1352.8	1195.5	1188.4	1196	1039.7	1033.0	1027
2,4	1649.8	1648	1628	1308.4	1303.9	1261.4	1253.2	1244	1111.8	1110.6	1094
1,1	1825.6	1827		1654.7	1654.4	1407.3	1407.4		1041.9	1038.6	
2,5	1840.3	1839	1851	1571.3	1565.8	1557.7	1553.8	1546	1305.0	1304.2	1299
2,3	2029.9	2029	1969	1519.9	1515.2	1434.0	1425.3	1394	1288.0	1286.9	1245
1,6	2156.8	2154	2151	1843.5	1842.7	1693.6	1679.7		1574.3	1561.3	1546
2,6	2385.8			2189.6	2189.0	2119.7			1762.4	1762.6	1748

[5] T. Mazúch, J. Horáček, J. Trnka, J. Veselý, Natural modes and frequencies of a thin clamped-free cylindrical storage tank partially filled with water: FEM and measurements, *Journal of Sound and Vibration,* Vol.193, pp.669-690, 1996

A. Ergin, B. Uğurlu / Journal of Sound and Vibration 275 (2004) 489-513



Fig. 4. Predicted mode shapes with m = 1 and n = 3: (a) empty shell, d/L = 0; (b) d/L = 0.2; (c) d/L = 0.4; (d) d/L = 0.6; (e) d/L = 0.8; (f) d/L = 1.

501

502

A. Ergin, B. Uğurlu | Journal of Sound and Vibration 275 (2004) 489-513



Fig. 5. Predicted mode shapes with m = 1 and n = 5: (a) empty shell, d/L = 0; (b) d/L = 0.2; (c) d/L = 0.4; (d) d/L = 0.6; (e) d/L = 0.8; (f) d/L = 1.



511

Fig. 7. Comparisons of predicted wet mode shapes of completely filled hermetic can for n (or i) = 3: (a) first symmetric shell-dominant mode; (b) first anti-symmetric plate-dominant mode; (c) first symmetric plate-dominant mode; (d) first anti-symmetric shell-dominant mode; (e) second symmetric plate-dominant mode; (f) second anti-symmetric plate-dominant mode; (g) second symmetric shell-dominant mode; (h) second anti-symmetric shell-dominant mode.



Fig. 1. Cylindrical shell conveying flowing fluid, (a) with rigid extensions and (b) with flexible extensions.

The structure adopted for calculations is a finite length cylindrical shell, simply supported at both ends, and it was analytically investigated by Weaver and Unny (1973), Selmane and Lakis (1997), Amabili *et al* (1999) and Amabili and Garziera (2002). The shell structure has the geometric and material properties: length-to-radius ratio L/R = 2, thickness-to-radius ratio h/R = 0.01, Young's modulus E = 206 GPa, Poisson's ratio u = 0.3, and mass density $\rho s = 7850$ kg/m3. Fresh water is used as the contained fluid with a density of $\rho f = 1000$ kg/m3.













Conclusions

- It can also be said that the hybrid method introduced in this study can be applied to any shape of cylindrical structure partially in contact with internal and/or external flowing fluid, in contrast to the studies found in the literature.
- The present study has demonstrated the versatility of the method developed before and extended in this study further. By introducing the linearly varying boundary elements in this study, the convergence of the numerical predictions were obtained much faster than those using constant distributions over the boundary elements.
- the predicted frequency values behave as expected. It is to say that they decrease with increasing non-dimensional axial flow velocity, and they reach a zero frequency for the axial flow velocity at which a static divergence occurs.